



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,518	03/29/2001	Hanh Kim Le	AUS920010137US1	5436
75	90 06/02/2005		EXAMINER,	
Frank C. Nicholas			WALLERSON, MARK E	

Frank C. Nicholas
CARDINAL LAW GROUP
1603 Orrington Avenue, Suite 2000
Evanston, IL 60201

2626

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
		09/820,518	LE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mark E. Wallerson	2626				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence a	address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above, the maximum state into the reply within the set or extended period for r	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, nication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimu utory period will apply and will expire SIX rill, by statute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  m of thirty (30) days will be considered tim (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	iely. communication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>05 January 2005</u> .					
2a)□	•	b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)							
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation  See the attached detailed Office action	locuments have been receive locuments have been receive f the priority documents have nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application No been received in this National ).	al Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		erview Summary (PTO-413)				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F or No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08) 5) D No	per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent Application (P ter: DE:TAILEG ACTION	TO-152) 1			

Application/Control Number: 09/820,518

Art Unit: 2626

#### Part III DETAILED ACTION

## Notice to Applicant(s)

- 1. This action is responsive to the following communications: amendment filed on 1/5/2005.
- 2. This application has been reconsidered. Claims 1-6 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shisler et al (Shisler) (U.S. 6,801,926).

With respect to claims 1-6, Shisler discloses a method for printing a computer generated report (column 1, lines 7-39) including a header section (1112, figure 11A) presenting a first set of information, a parts sections listing one or more line items (1114, figure 11A), and a footer section presenting a second set of information (1115 or 1116, figure 11A), comprising printing a first report page including the header section, a first parts subsection listing a first quantity of line items (column 6, lines 43-63 and column 7, lines 9-55), the first quantity being less than or equal to a first number (which reads on a page overflow) (column 7, lines 7-13), and the footer section (column 7, lines 29-35).

Application/Control Number: 09/820,518 Page 3

Art Unit: 2626

Shisler differs from claims 1-6 in that he does not clearly disclose printing a second or third report page when a total quantity of line items listed in the parts section exceeds said first or second number (from the second page), said second page including a header subsection presenting a portion of the first set of information, a second parts subsection listing a second quantity of line items, said second quantity being less than or equal to a second number, and a footer subsection presenting a portion of the second set of information.

However, Shisler teaches that a page header section prints at the top of a page whenever a page overflow occurs (which reads on when a total quantity of line items listed in the parts section exceeds the first section) (column 7, lines 7-13), and that a page footer section prints at the bottom of a page whenever a page break event occurs (the page break event includes page overflow) (column 7, lines 7-13 and 30-35).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Shisler wherein if the information being inputted into the report (figure 3) exceeds the capacity of one page, a second or third subsequent page would be used to accommodate the added line items, the second or third pages including header, parts (columnar) and footer sections. This would ensure that all of the users' line items are printed.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2626

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark E. Wallerson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7470. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday - 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams can be reached on (571) 272-7471. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark E. Wallerson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2626

MARKWALLERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER